arXiv:1411.1251v2 [math.FA] 6 Dec 2014

VECTOR VALUED q-VARIATION FOR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS AND SEMIGROUPS I

GUIXIANG HONG* AND TAO MA

Abstract. In this paper, we establish $\mathcal{B}$-valued variational inequalities for differential operators, ergodic averages and symmetric diffusion semigroups under the condition that Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ has martingale cotype property. These results generalize, on the one hand Pisier and Xu's result on the variational inequalities for $\mathcal{B}$-valued martingales, on the other hand many classical variational inequalities in harmonic analysis and ergodic theory. Moreover, we show that Rademacher cotype q is necessary for the $\mathcal{B}$-valued q-variational inequalities. As applications of the variational inequalities, we deduce the jump estimates and obtain quantitative information on the rate of convergence. It turns out the rate of convergence depends on the geometric property of the Banach space under consideration, which considerably improve Cowling and Leinert's result where it is shown that the convergence always holds for all Banach spaces.

1. Introduction

Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space and $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space. A submarkovian C_0 semigroup $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ acting on $L^p(\Omega)$ extends to a semigroup of operators on $L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B})$. Cowling and Leinert showed in [6] that

$$\|T_t f(\omega) - f(\omega)\| \to 0, \text{ a.e. } \omega \in \Omega, \text{ as } t \to 0^+ \tag{1.1}$$

for any Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ and any $f \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B})$ with $1 < p < \infty$, where (and throughout the paper) $\|\cdot\|$ means taking $\mathcal{B}$-norm. The predecessor of this result is the one [33] by Taggart where the convergence was shown only for Banach spaces having UMD property.

In this paper, we try to understand more precise information on this convergence (1.1), which leads us to consider the vector-valued variational inequalities since it is well-known that variational inequalities can be used to measure the speed of convergence for the family of operators in consideration.

* Corresponding author.

MR(2000) Subject Classification. Primary 42B25, 47B38, 47A35, 47D07; Secondary 46E40, 46B20.

Keywords. Variational inequalities, vector-valued inequalities, martingale cotype, differential operators, ergodic averages, semigroups, pointwise convergence rate.

Actually, the scalar-valued variational inequalities have been studied a lot in probability, ergodic theory and harmonica analysis. The first variational inequality was proved by Lépingle [20] for martingales which improves the classical Doob maximal inequality. Thirteen years later, Bourgain in [2] proved the variational inequality for the ergodic averages of a dynamic system, which has inaugurated a new research direction in ergodic theory and harmonic analysis. Bourgain's work was considerably improved and extended to many other operators in ergodic theory. For instance, Jone et al in [14] and [15] established variational inequalities for differential operators and ergodic averages of measure-preserving invertible transforms; Le Merdy and Xu [19] obtained very recently variational inequalities for the contractively regular semigroups under an analyticity assumption. On the other hand, almost in the same period, variational inequalities have been studied in harmonic analysis too. The first works on this subject are [3] and [4] where Campbell et al proved the variational inequalities for singular integrals. Since then variational inequalities for different kinds of operators in harmonic analysis have been built; see e.g. [8] for paraproducts, [16] for differential operator and Hilbert transform along curves, [17] for the Fejér and Poisson kernels, [22],[23] and [24] for singular integrals on Lipschitz graphs, as well as [26] for Carleson operator.

However, regarding the vector-valued variational inequalities, there is only one result as we know. That is, the q-variational inequality for vector-valued martingales established by Pisier and Xu in [30], where actually the authors reproved Lépingale's scalar-valued variational inequality using another approach which can be easily adapted to the vector-valued setting. To state their inequality we need to recall the definition of the vector-valued q-variation. Give a sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ and a number $1 \leq q < \infty$, the vector-valued q-variation norm is defined as

$$\|(a_n)_{n\geq 0}\|_{v_q(\mathcal{B})} = \sup\{(\|a_{n_0}\|^q + \sum_{k\geq 1} \|a_{n_k} - a_{n_{k-1}}\|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}\}$$

where the supremum runs over all increasing sequences $(n_k)_{k\geq 0}$ of integers. It is clear that the set of $v_q(\mathcal{B})$ of all sequences with a finite vector-valued q-variation is a Banach space with respect to the norm $v_q(\mathcal{B})$.

Let $(\mathcal{E}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is an increasing sequence of conditional expectations on a probability space Ω. Pisier and Xu proved that if $\mathcal{B}$ is of martingale cotype q_0 with $2 \leq q_0 < \infty$,

$$\|\mathcal{E}_0 f\| + \sum_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{E}(\|\mathcal{E}_n f - \mathcal{E}_{n-1} f\|^{q_0}) \leq C_{q_0} \mathcal{E}(\|f\|^{q_0}), \tag{1.2}$$

then

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_n f)_{n\geq 0}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))} \leq C_{p,q} \|\|f\|\|_p, \ \forall f \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B}) \tag{1.3}$$

provided $q_0 < q < \infty$ and $1 < p < \infty$.

The main result of this paper says that similar inequalities as (1.3) remain true in harmonic analysis for differential operators and in ergodic theory for symmetric diffusion semigroups instead of conditional expectations. To state the main results, we need to recall more notations. A symmetric diffusion semigroup is a bounded strongly continous semigroup $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ defined simutaneously on $L^p(\Omega,\mu)$, $1\leq p\leq\infty$ such that for all $t>0$,

(i) $\|T_t\|_{L^p\to L^p}\leq 1$, $\forall 1\leq p\leq\infty$;
(ii) $\langle T_t f,g\rangle=\langle f,T_t g\rangle$ whenever $f,g\in L^2(\Omega)$;
(iii) $T_t f\geq 0$ whenever $f\geq 0$;
(iv) $T_t 1=1$.

We also need the following continuous analog of $v_q(\mathcal{B})$. Given a family $(a_t)_{t>0}$ in $\mathcal{B}$, define

$$\|(a_t)_{t>0}\|_{V_q(\mathcal{B})}=\sup\{(\|a_{t_0}\|^q+\sum_{k\geq 1}\|a_{t_k}-a_{t_{k-1}}\|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}\}$$

where the supremum runs over all increasing sequences $(t_k)_{k\geq 0}$ of positive real numbers. Then we define $V_q(\mathcal{B})$ to be the Banach space of all $(a_t)_{t>0}$ with $V_q(\mathcal{B})$-norm finite.

The first main result of this paper is stated as follows. Let $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a symmetric diffusion semigroup. Let $2\leq q_0<\infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space of martingale cotype q_0 which is an interpolation space between a Hilbert space and another Banach space B_0 of martingale cotype $2\leq q_1<\infty$. Then for any $q_0<q<\infty$ and any $f\in L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B})$, the family $(T_t(f))_{t>0}$ belongs to $V_q(\mathcal{B})$ for a.e. $\omega\in\Omega$ and we have an estimate

$$\big\|\|\omega\mapsto(T_t(f)(\omega))_{t>0}\|_{V_q(\mathcal{B})}\big\|_p\leq C_{p,q}\|\|f\|\|_p,\ \forall f\in L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B}). \tag{1.4}$$

This result can be viewed as a vector-valued version of Corollary 4.5 in [19]. Restricted to the symmetric diffusion semigroups and the Banach spaces satisfying the above conditions, this result provides quantitative information of the convergence. Interestingly, it is shown in Section 6 that the speed of the convergence depends on the quantity of martingale cotype of the Banach space under consideration.

A priori, the vector-valued inequality is difficult to deal with, as in the vector-valued harmonica analysis. However, the arguments used in the scalar valued case [19] is very powerful, where pointwise estimates are used, so that the general pattern can be adapted to the vector-valued case. More precisely, as in [19] we will deduce inequality (1.4) from a similar estimate for discrete semigroups using an approxiamtion argument based on the semigroup property, which in turn relys on a similar estimate for ergodic averages $M(T_t)$'s (see Section 3 for the definition)

$$\|(M_n(T_t)f)_{n\geq 0}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))}\leq C_{p,q}\|\|f\|\|_p,\ \forall f\in L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B}), \tag{1.5}$$

provided $q_0 < q < \infty$, and vector-valued Littlewood-Paley inequality

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \|(n+1)T_t^n(T_t - I)f\|^{q_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \right\|_p \leq C_{p,q_0} \|\|f\|\|_p. \tag{1.6}$$

To obtain (1.6), we use complex interpolation and the fact that every T_t admits Rota's dilation. The reason why we can not obtain inequality (1.4) for all Banach spaces of martingale cotype q_0 is that we have not yet been able to prove (1.6) for all Banach spaces of martingale cotype q_0, which remains an open problem. On the other hand, inequality (1.6) is sharp in the sense that the inequality holds true for one $1 < p < \infty$ (or equivalent all $1 < p < \infty$) implies that $\mathcal{B}$ has to be of martingale cotype q_0. See for instance [21].

On the estimate (1.5), we prove a little bit stronger result, a similar estimate for all Banach spaces of martingale cotype q_0 and all continuous contractively regular semigroups, by a vector-valued transference technique based on Fendler's dilation and the vector-valued q-variational inequality for differential operators, which is another main result of this paper.

Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{B})$, define

$$A_t f(x) = \frac{1}{|B_t|} \int_{B_t} f(x+y)dy, \ \forall t > 0.$$

Then for any $1 < p < \infty$ and Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ of martingale cotype q_0 with $2 \leq q_0 < \infty$, we have

$$\|(A_t f)_{n \geq 0}\|_{L^p(V_q(\mathcal{B}))} \leq C_{p,q} \|\|f\|\|_p, \ \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{B}). \tag{1.7}$$

The weak type $(1,1)$ and (L_c^∞, BMO) estimates will also be shown in the process of obtaining (1.7). Here L_c^∞ means compact supported L^∞ functions. We prefer to state our results for L_c^∞ but not L^∞, because q-variation may behave badly at this end point. See [13] for more information. These results generalize the main results in [14] [15] not only to the vector-valued case, but also to all $p > 2$. Our strategy of the proof follows [14] [15] but with additional analysis on geometric property of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and more efforts on vector-valued analysis.

On the other hand, the vector-valued variational inequality is of independent interest, since the variational inequality (1.7) shares similar feature as Littlewood-Paley type inequality (1.6) in characterizing geometric property of Banach space. Precisely, we show that if inequality (1.7) holds for one $1 < p < \infty$ (or equivalently all $1 < p < \infty$), then Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ must be of Rademacher cotype q. However, this result is not sharp since in the martingale case, inequality (1.3) implies obviously $\mathcal{B}$ must be of martingale cotype q which is a stronger property than Rademacher cotype q. This inspire us a lot to consider vector-valued variational inequality in harmonic analysis and try to find new characterization of Banach space geometric property in subsequent works.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the proof of inequality (1.7) and the related results. In Section 3, we prove the necessity of Rademacher cotype q for inequality (1.7) to hold. In Section 4, by transference principle, we show variational inequality (1.5) for ergodic averages. In Section 5, the variational inequality (1.4) for the semigroups itself will be proved, based on (1.5) and Littlewood-Paley inequality (1.6). In the last section, we establish individual (pointwise) ergodic theorems and the quantitative formulation of convergence.

2. Differential operators

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space and $f:\ \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{B}$. For $t>0$, let B_t denote the the open ball centered at the origin 0 with radius $r(B_t)$ equal to t. Then we define

$$A_t f(x) = \frac{1}{|B_t|}\int_{B_t} f(x+y)\ dy = \frac{1}{|B_t|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)\mathbb{1}_{B_t}(x-y)\ dy, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

These are the central differential operators on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The $\mathcal{B}$-valued q-variation of the family of differential operators will be defined as

$$\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})f(x) = \|(A_t f(x))_{t\geq 0}\|_{V_q(\mathcal{B})}.$$

Jones, Rosenblatt and Wierdl proved in [15] that the operator $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})$ ($q>2$) is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1<p\leq 2$ and from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The following theorem extends their result not only to all $p>2$ but also to the vector valued case.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $2\leq q_0<\infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is of martingale cotype q_0. Then the following statements are true for any $q_0<q\leq\infty$:* (i). *For any $1<p<\infty$, there exist a constant $C_{p,q}$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})f\|_p \leq C_{p,q}\|\|f\|\|_p,\ \forall f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{B}); \tag{2.1}$$

(ii). *If the estimate (2.1) is true for some $1<p_0<\infty$, then there exists a constant $C_{p_0,q}$ such that*

$$\big|\{\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})f>\lambda\}\big| \leq \frac{C_{p_0,q}}{\lambda}\|\|f\|\|_1,\ \forall f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{B}) \tag{2.2}$$

for any $\lambda>0$, and

$$\|\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})f\|_{BMO_d} \leq C_{p_0,q}\|\|f\|\|_\infty,\ \forall f\in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{B}), \tag{2.3}$$

where BMO_d is the dyadic BMO space.

Remark 2.2. In the above theorem, the family $\{B_t\}_{t>0}$ of balls can be replaced by the family $\{Q_t\}_{t>0}$ of cubes, where Q_t is the cube centered at the origin and having side length equal to t.

The starting point of the proof is the estimate (2.1) in the case $p=q_0$. Then we prove the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate (2.2) and the (L^∞_c, BMO_d) estimate (2.3). Finally, the general type (p,p) estimates for $1<p\neq q_0<\infty$ follow from interpolation.

As mentioned previously, our results are motivated by the similar results for vector valued martingales. Actually, the results for martingales are used in our proof. Let us recall the related martingale in this case. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let σ_n be the n-th dyadic σ algebra. That is, σ_n is generated by the dyadic cubes with side-length equal to 2^n. Denote by $\mathcal{E}_n$ the conditional expectation with respect to σ_n.

Let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of local integrable functions, measurably relative to the increasing sequence of σ-algebras $(\sigma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $df_n = f_{n-1} - f_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is said to a martingale if for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathcal{E}_n df_n = 0$, and df_n is called martingale difference.

Before proceeding with the proof of Theorem 2.1, we need more notation. We will handle $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})$ by passing through long and short variations. For each interval $I_i = (t_i, t_{i+1}]$, first consider two cases:

- Case 1: I_i does not contain any power of 2;
- Case 2: I_i contains powers of 2.

In case 1, $I_i \subset (2^k, 2^{k+1}]$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In case 2, letting $m_i = \min\{k : 2^k \in I_i\}$ and $n_i = \max\{k : 2^k \in I_i\}$, we divide I_i into three subintervals: $(t_i, 2^{m_i}]$, $(2^{m_i}, 2^{n_i}]$ and $(2^{n_i}, t_{i+1}]$. Then we introduce two collections of intervals:

- $\mathcal{S}$ consists of all intervals in case 1, and $(t_i, 2^{m_i}]$, $(2^{n_i}, t_{i+1}]$ in case 2 (and $\mathcal{S}_k$ consists of all intervals in $\mathcal{S}$ and contained in $(2^k, 2^{k+1}]$);
- $\mathcal{L}$ consists of all intervals $(2^{m_j}, 2^{n_j}]$ in case 2.

Note that $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{L}$ is a disjoint family of intervals. Then for any increasing sequence (t_i), we have

$$\big(\sum_i \|A_{t_{i+1}} f(x) - A_{t_i} f(x)\|^q\big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le C_q\big(\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}} \|A_{t_{i+1}} f(x) - A_{t_i} f(x)\|^q\big)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$+C_q\big(\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{L}} \|A_{t_{i+1}} f(x) - A_{t_i} f(x)\|^q\big)^{\frac{1}{q}} = C_q(I + II).$$

The first term on the right hand side is controlled by

$$I \le \sup_{(t_i)_i} \big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k} \|A_{t_{i+1}} f(x) - A_{t_i} f(x)\|^{q_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}$$

which is denoted by $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f$. While the second term is further estimated in the following. Note that by the definition of $\mathcal{L}$, if $I_i = (t_i, t_{i+1}] \in \mathcal{L}$, then

we can rewrite I_i as $(2^{n_i}, 2^{n_{i+1}}]$.

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \big(\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{L}} \|A_{t_{i+1}}f(x) - A_{t_i}f(x)\|^q\big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\le \big(\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{L}} \|A_{t_{i+1}}f(x) - \mathcal{E}_{n_{i+1}}f(x) + \mathcal{E}_{n_i}f(x) - A_{t_i}f(x)\|^q\big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\quad + \big(\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{L}} \|\mathcal{E}_{n_{i+1}}f(x) - \mathcal{E}_{n_i}f(x)\|^q\big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\le \big(\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{L}} \|A_{2^{n_{i+1}}}f(x) - \mathcal{E}_{n_{i+1}}f(x) + \mathcal{E}_{n_i}f(x) - A_{2^{n_i}}f(x)\|^{q_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{(n_i)} \big(\sum_i \|\mathcal{E}_{n_{i+1}}f(x) - \mathcal{E}_{n_i}f(x)\|^q\big)^{\frac{1}{q}} = III + IV, \end{aligned}$$

Note that the term IV is just the vector-valued q-variation for martingales in [30], denoted by $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{E})f$. On the other hand, by the triangle inequalities, the term III is controlled by

$$\big(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \|A_{2^n}f(x) - \mathcal{E}_n f(x)\|^{q_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}},$$

which is denoted by $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f$.

To conclude, we have

$$\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})f \le \mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f + \mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f + \mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{E})f. \tag{2.4}$$

This inequality enable us to deduce (2.1) and (2.2) from the corresponding estimates for $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$. The following theorem deals with the long variation operator $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $2 \le q_0 < \infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is of martingale cotype q_0. Then:* (i). *For any $1 < p < \infty$, there exist a constant C_{p,q_0} such that*

$$\|\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f\|_p \le C_{p,q_0}\|\|f\|\|_p,\ \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{B}); \tag{2.5}$$

(ii). *If the estimate (2.5) is true for some $1 < p_0 < \infty$, then there exists a constant C_{p_0,q_0} such that*

$$\big|\{\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f > \lambda\}\big| \le \frac{C_{p_0,q_0}}{\lambda}\|\|f\|\|_1,\ \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{B}). \tag{2.6}$$

for any $\lambda > 0$, and

$$\|\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f\|_{BMO_d} \le C_{p_0,q_0}\|\|f\|\|_\infty,\ \forall f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d;\mathcal{B}). \tag{2.7}$$

The same conclusions hold true for the short variation operator $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $2 \le q_0 < \infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is of martingale cotype q_0. Then:* (i). *For any $1 < p < \infty$, there exist a constant C_{p,q_0} such that*

$$\|\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f\|_p \le C_{p,q_0}\|\|f\|\|_p,\ \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{B}); \tag{2.8}$$

(ii). *If the estimate (2.8) is true for some $1 < p_0 < \infty$, then there exists a constant C_{p_0,q_0} such that*

$$\big|\{\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f > \lambda\}\big| \le \frac{C_{p_0,q_0}}{\lambda}|||f|||_1,\ \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{B}). \tag{2.9}$$

for any $\lambda > 0$, and

$$\|\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f\|_{BMO} \le C_{p_0,q_0}|||f|||_\infty,\ \forall f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{B}). \tag{2.10}$$

As the comments after Theorem 2.1, we shall prove firstly in Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 the (q_0, q_0) estimate and weak type $(1, 1,)$ estimate, which rely on the following almost orthogonality principle.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose $(\mathbb{S}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of subadditive operators from $L^{q_0}(\mathcal{B})$ to L^{q_0} in some σ-finite measure space, i.e. $\mathbb{S}(f + g) \le \mathbb{S}(f) + \mathbb{S}(g)$. Let $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be two sequences of $L^{q_0}(\mathcal{B})$ functions. Then we have*

$$\sum_k \|\sup_{j,m}\mathbb{S}_k(\sum_{j\le n\le m} u_n)\|_{q_0}^{q_0} \le w^{q_0}\cdot\sum_n |||v_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0}$$

provided that there is a sequence $(\sigma(j))_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of positive numbers with $w = \sum_j \sigma(j) < \infty$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{S}_k u_n\|_{q_0} \le \sigma(n-k)|||v_n|||_{q_0}$$

for every n, k.

Furthermore, if $\mathbb{S}$ are continuous, $f = \sum_n u_n$, $\sum_n |||v_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0} \le C|||f|||_{q_0}^{q_0}$, then

$$\sum_k \|\mathbb{S}_k f\|_{q_0}^{q_0} \le Cw^{q_0}\cdot|||f|||_{q_0}^{q_0}.$$

Proof. By the subadditivity of the $\mathbb{S}$,

$$\sup_{j,m}\mathbb{S}_k(\sum_{j\le n\le m} u_n) \le \sup_{j,m}\sum_{j\le n\le m}\mathbb{S}_k(u_n) = \sum_n \mathbb{S}_k u_n.$$

Hence by the triangle inequality for the L^{q_0} norm,

$$\|\sup_{j,m}\mathbb{S}_k(\sum_{j\le n\le m} u_n)\|_{q_0} \le \sum_n \|\mathbb{S}_k u_n\|_{q_0}.$$

Setting $b_n = |||v_n|||_{q_0}$, by the assumption $\|\mathbb{S}_k u_n\|_{q_0} \le \sigma(n-k)|||v_n|||_{q_0}$, it suffices to prove

$$\sum_k \big(\sum_n \sigma(n-k)b_n\big)^{q_0} \le \big(\sum_n \sigma(n)\big)^{q_0}\cdot\sum_n b_n^{q_0},$$

which follows from the well known inequality for the ℓ^{q_0} norm of the convolution of two sequences

$$\|\sigma * b\|_{\ell^{q_0}} \le \|\sigma\|_{\ell^1}\|b\|_{\ell^{q_0}}.$$

□

2.1. **Strong type** (q_0, q_0) **estimates.** By (2.4), the assertion that $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})$ is bounded from $L^{q_0}(\mathcal{B})$ to L^{q_0} is an immediate consequence of the strong type (q_0, q_0) estimates of $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{E})$. Now let us first prove strong (q_0, q_0) estimate of $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Let us write $f = \sum_n d_n$, where $d_n = \mathcal{E}_{n-1}f - \mathcal{E}_n f$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ being of martingale cotype q_0 means

$$\sum_n |||d_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0} \le C_{q_0} |||f|||_{q_0}^{q_0}.$$

Taking $\mathbb{S}_k g(x) = \|A_{2^k} g(x) - \mathcal{E}_k g(x)\|$, $u_n = v_n = d_n$ and $\sigma(j) = C2^{-|j|/q_0}$ in Lemma 2.5, it suffices to prove

$$|||(A_{2^k} - \mathcal{E}_k)d_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0} \le C \cdot 2^{-|n-k|} |||d_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0}. \tag{2.11}$$

We first prove (2.11) in the case $k < n$. Note that $\mathcal{E}_k d_n = d_n$ when $k < n$. It suffices to show

$$|||A_{2^k} d_n - d_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0} \le C \cdot 2^{(k-n)} |||d_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0}. \tag{2.12}$$

Let us denote by $\mathbb{D}_n$ the set of all atoms of the n-th dyadic σ algebra σ_n, and write

$$|||A_{2^k} d_n - d_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|A_{2^k} d_n - d_n\|^{q_0} = \sum_{H \in \mathbb{D}_{n-1}} \int_H \|A_{2^k} d_n - d_n\|^{q_0}.$$

Since d_n is constant on the atom $H \in \mathbb{D}_{n-1}$, we have $A_{2^k} d_n - d_n = 0$ if $x + B_{2^k} \in H$. Hence for $x \in H$, $(A_{2^k} d_n - d_n)(x)$ may be nonzero only if $x + B_{2^k}$ intersects with the complement of H. Hence for a given set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we consider the set

$$\mathcal{H}(B, H) = \{x \in H |\ x + B \cap H^C \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Since $H \in \mathbb{D}_{n-1}$, we have

$$|\mathcal{H}(B_{2^k}, H)| \le C 2^{(d-1)n} \cdot 2^k.$$

Denoting by m_H the maximum of $\|d_n\|$ on the cubes neighboring H and H, then we have the estimate $\|(A_{2^k} d_n(x) - d_n(x)\| \le 2m_H$ for every $x \in H$. Hence

$$\int_H \|A_{2^k} d_n - d_n\|^{q_0} \le C 2^{(d-1)n} \cdot 2^k \cdot m_H^{q_0} \le C 2^{k-n} \int_H m_H^{q_0}.$$

Summing over all $H \in \mathbb{D}_{n-1}$ and noting that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m_H^{q_0} \le C_d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|d_n\|^{q_0}$ since m_H is a constant on H. We finish the proof of (2.12).

Now let us prove (2.11) in the case $n \le k$. Note that $\mathcal{E}_k d_n = 0$ in this case, hence it suffices to prove

$$|||A_{2^k} d_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0} \le C 2^{n-k} |||d_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0}, \tag{2.13}$$

which is deduced from the following pointwise estimate by integrating both sides:

$$\|A_{2^k} d_n\|^{q_0} \le C 2^{n-k} \cdot A_{2^k} \|d_n\|^{q_0}. \tag{2.14}$$

We finish the proof once we prove the inequality (2.14). Indeed, integrating over $\mathbb{R}^d$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\|A_{2^k}d_n\|^{q_0} &\le C2^{n-k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|}\int_{x+B_{2^k}}\|d_n(y)\|^{q_0}dydx\\ &= C2^{n-k}\frac{1}{|B_k|}\int_{B_k}dy\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\|d_n(x+y)\|^{q_0}dx\\ &= C2^{n-k}\|\|d_n\|\|_{q_0}^{q_0}.\end{aligned}$$

We divide $\mathbb{R}^d$ into all atoms in $\mathbb{D}_n$. Taking any $H\in\mathbb{D}_n$, we have the fact $\int_H d_n=0$. Then for a given set $B\subset\mathbb{R}^d$, we consider

$$\mathcal{I}(x+B,n)=\cup\{(x+B)\cap H|H\in\mathbb{D}_n,\ x+\partial B\cap H\neq\emptyset\}.$$

Since the $\{H|H\in\mathbb{D}_n\}$ are pairwise disjoint, we have

$$\int_{x+B_{2^k}}d_n=\sum_{H\in\mathbb{D}_n}\int_{B_{2^k}+x\cap H}d_n\le\int_{\mathcal{I}(x+B_{2^k},n)}d_n.$$

Now since the measure of $\mathcal{I}(x+B_{2^k},n)$ is not more than a constant multiple of $2^n2^{(d-1)k}$ and $|B_{2^k}|\approx 2^{kd}$, using Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_{2^k}d_n\|^{q_0} &= \frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|^{q_0}}\Big\|\int_{\mathcal{I}(x+B_{2^k},n)}d_n\Big\|^{q_0}\\ &\le C\frac{1}{(2^{dk})^{q_0}}(2^{n+(d-1)k})^{q_0-1}\int_{x+B_{2^k}}\|d_n\|^{q_0}\\ &\le C(2^{n-k})^{q_0-1}\Big(\frac{1}{2^{dk}}\int_{x+B_{2^k}}\|d_n\|^{q_0}\Big)\\ &\le C2^{n-k}\Big(\frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|}\int_{x+B_{2^k}}\|d_n\|^{q_0}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Then we prove inequality (2.14). □

The structure of the proof of strong (q_0,q_0) estimate for $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ in Theorem 2.4 is similar to $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{S}_k$ be such as

$$\mathbb{S}_k g(x)=\Big(\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\|(A_{t_{i+1}}-A_{t_i})g\|^{q_0}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}(x),$$

and $u_n=v_n=d_n$ and $\sigma(j)=C2^{-|j|/q_0}$ in Lemma 2.5. Note that $I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k$ means $I_i=(t_i,t_{i+1}]\subset(2^k,2^{k+1}]$. Then it suffices to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\|(A_{t_{i+1}}-A_{t_i})d_n\|^{q_0}\le C2^{-|n-k|}\|\|d_n\|\|_{q_0}^{q_0}. \tag{2.15}$$

We first prove (2.15) in the case $k < n$. We then have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \|(A_{i+1} - A_{t_i}) d_n\|^{q_0} = \sum_{H \in \mathbb{D}_{n-1}} \int_H \sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \|(A_{B_{t_{i+1}}} - A_{B_{t_i}}) d_n\|^{q_0}.$$

Since d_n is constant on $H \in \mathbb{D}_{n-1}$, $\sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \|(A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i}) d_n\|^{q_0}$ can be nonzero only if for some $I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k$ at least the ball $x + B_{t_{i+1}}$ intersects the complement of H. Noticing that $B_{t_{i+1}} \subset B_{2^{k+1}}$, we consider the set

$$\mathcal{H}(B_{2^{k+1}}, H) = \{x \in H |\ x + B_{2^{k+1}} \cap H^C \neq \emptyset\}.$$

And the measure of $\mathcal{H}(B_{2^{k+1}}, H)$ is not more than a constant multiple of $2^{(d-1)n} 2^k$. Recall that the maximum of $\|d_n\|$ on the cubes neighboring H and H is denoted by m_H. Hence for every $x \in H$, we have the estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \|(A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i}) d_n\|^{q_0} \big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}(x) \leq \sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \|(A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i}) d_n\|(x) \\
\leq & C \frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|} \sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \int_{x + (B_{2^{k+1}} \setminus B_{2^k})} \|d_n\| + \sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \big(\frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|} - \frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|} \big) \int_{x + B_{2^{k+1}}} \|d_n\| \\
\leq & C \frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|} \int_{x + B_{2^{k+1}}} \|d_n\| + \frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|} \int_{x + B_{2^{k+1}}} \|d_n\| \\
\leq & C A_{2^{k+1}} \|d_n\| \leq C m_H.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_H \sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \|(A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i}) d_n\|^{q_0} &\leq C \int_{\mathcal{H}(B_{2^{k+1}}, H)} m_H^{q_0} \leq C 2^{(d-1)n} 2^k m_H^{q_0} \\
&= C 2^{k-n} (2^{dn} m_H^{q_0}) \leq C 2^{k-n} \int_H m_H^{q_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over $H \in \mathbb{D}_{n-1}$ and noting that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m_n^{q_0} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|d_n\|^{q_0}$, we finish the prove of (2.15) in the case $n > k$.

Now we turn to the proof of (2.15) in the case $n \leq k$. It suffices to prove the pointwise estimate

$$\sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \|(A_{t_{i+1}} - A_{t_i}) d_n(x)\|^{q_0} \leq C 2^{n-k} A_{2^{k+1}} \|d_n(x)\|^{q_0},\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.16}$$

since then we can immediately get (2.15) by integrating both sides on $\mathbb{R}^d$ as we have done for the long q_0-variation. We first deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\|(A_{t_{i+1}}-A_{t_i})d_n\|^{q_0}\le& C_{q_0}\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\Big\|\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\int_{x+B_{t_{i+1}}\setminus B_{t_i}}d_n\Big\|^{q_0}\\&+C_{q_0}\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\Big(\frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|}-\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\Big)^{q_0}\Big\|\int_{x+B_{t_i}}d_n\Big\|^{q_0}\\\le& C_{q_0}\frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|^{q_0}}\Big\|\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\int_{x+B_{t_{i+1}}\setminus B_{t_i}}d_n\Big\|^{q_0}\\&+C_{q_0}\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\Big(\frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|}-\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\Big)^{q_0}\sup_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\Big\|\int_{x+B_{t_i}}d_n\Big\|^{q_0}\\=&I+II.\end{aligned}$$

In the first term I, we need to consider the set $\mathcal{I}(x+B_{t_{i+1}}\setminus B_{t_i},n)$ for any $I_i\in\mathcal{S}$, which is defined as before. By the fact that $\int_H d_n=0$ for any $H\in\mathbb{D}_n$, we first have

$$\int_{x+B_{t_{i+1}}\setminus B_{t_i}}d_n=\int_{\mathcal{I}(x+B_{t_{i+1}}\setminus B_{t_i},n)}d_n.$$

Since $B_{t_{i+1}}\setminus B_{t_i}\subset B_{2^{k+1}}\setminus B_{2^k}$, the measure of $\mathcal{I}(x+B_{t_{i+1}}\setminus B_{t_i},n)$ is not more than a constant multiple of $2^n2^{(d-1)k}$. Noting $|B_{2^k}|\approx 2^{kd}$ and using the Hölder inequality, we estimate the first term as

$$\begin{aligned}I\le& C\frac{1}{(2^{kd})^{q_0}}(2^n2^{(d-1)k})^{q_0-1}\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\int_{x+B_{t_{i+1}}\setminus B_{t_i}}\|d_n\|^{q_0}\\\le& C(2^{n-k})^{q_0-1}\big(\frac{1}{2^{kd}}\int_{x+B_{2^{k+1}}}\|d_n\|^{q_0}\big)\\\le& C2^{n-k}\big(\frac{1}{|B_{2^{k+1}}|}\int_{x+B_{2^{k+1}}}|d_n|_{\mathcal{B}}^{q_0}\big).\end{aligned}$$

In the second term II, we have

$$\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\Big(\frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|}-\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\Big)^{q_0}\le\Big(\frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|}-\frac{1}{|B_{2^{k+1}}|}\Big)^{q_0}\le\frac{C}{(2^{kd})^{q_0}}.$$

Then noticing that the measure of $\mathcal{I}(x+B_{t_i},n)$ is also not more than a constant multiple of $2^n2^{(d-1)k}$, and using Hölder inequality and running randomly A_{t_i} for $I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k$, the second term then is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}II\le& C\frac{1}{(2^{dk})^{q_0}}(2^n2^{(d-1)k})^{q_0-1}\sup_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\int_{x+B_{t_i}}\|d_n\|^{q_0}\\\le& C2^{n-k}\big(\frac{1}{|B_{k+1}|}\int_{x+B_{k+1}}\|d_n\|^{q_0}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates of I and II, we have proved the operator $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ is of type (q_0, q_0). □

2.2. **Weak type** $(1,1)$ **estimates.** By (2.4), the assertion that $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathcal{B})$ to $L^{1,\infty}$ is an immediate consequence of the weak type $(1,1)$ estimates of $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{E})$.

As usually, the proofs of the weak type $(1,1)$ inequalities in Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 follow from the scheme of Calderón-Zygmund (cf. e.g. Theorem II.1.12 in [11]). However, as in [15], we will estimate the L^{q_0} norm of the bad function off the set where the maximal function is large. The following vector-valued Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, which plays a key role in proving weak type estimate, should be known somewhere but I can not find it in any literature. Given a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let Q^* denote the cube with the same center as Q but three times the side length.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{B})$ and $\lambda > 0$. Then there exists a finite disjoint family $\{Q_i\}$ of dyadic cubes satisfying the following properties*

(i) $\|f\| \le \lambda$ *on* Ω^c, *where* $\Omega = \bigcup_i Q_i$;

(ii) $\lambda < \dfrac{1}{|Q_i|}\displaystyle\int_{Q_i} \|f\| \le 2^d\lambda$;

(iii) $\Omega \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : M(\|f\|)(x) > \lambda\}$ *and* $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : M(\|f\|)(x) > 4^d\lambda\} \subset \Omega^*$, *where* $\Omega^* = \bigcup_i Q_i^*$;

Define

$$g = f \text{ on } \Omega^c \quad \text{and} \quad g = \frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i} f \text{ on } Q_i \text{ for each } i,$$

$$b = \sum_i b_i, \text{ where } b_i = \big(f - \frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i} f\big)\mathbb{1}_{Q_i}.$$

Then

(iv) $f = g + b$;

(v) $\|\|g\|\|_\infty \le 2^d\lambda$ *and* $\|\|g\|\|_p^p \le 2^{d(p-1)}\lambda^{p-1}\|\|f\|\|_1$, *for any* $1 \le p < \infty$;

(vi) *for each* i, $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} b_i = 0$ *and* $\dfrac{1}{|Q_i|}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|b_i\| \le 2^{d+1}\lambda$.

Note that the cubes Q_j's in the lemma are selected by applying standard stopping arguments to the scalar-valued function $\|f\|$. Thus for any Q_j, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $Q_j \in \mathbb{D}_n$. In the rest of this paper, we denote by $\mathcal{Q}$ the collection of all Q_j's, and the collections $\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathbb{D}_n \cap \mathcal{Q}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now let us give the proof of the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate in Theorem 2.3.

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$. By triangle inequality, keeping the notation in Lemma 2.6, we have

$$|\{\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f > \lambda\}| \le |\{\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})g > \lambda/2\}| + |\{\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})b > \lambda/2\}|.$$

The first term on the right is estimated by the L^{q_0}-boundedness of $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ and (v) in Lemma 2.6:

$$\begin{aligned}|\{\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})g > \lambda/2\}| &\le C_{q_0}\lambda^{-q_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})g(x)|^{q_0}dx\\ &\le C_{q_0}\lambda^{-q_0}|||g|||_{q_0}^{q_0} \le C_{q_0}\lambda^{-1}|||f|||_1.\end{aligned}$$

Our main task is to prove a similar estimate for the bad part b. We have

$$|\{\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(M)b > \lambda/2\}| \le |\Omega^*| + |(\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\Omega^*)\cap\{\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(M)b > \lambda/2\}|.$$

The first term is estimated by Lemma 2.6 (ii) as

$$|\Omega_j^*| \le \sum_j |Q_j^*| \le C_d\sum_j |Q_j| \le C_d\lambda^{-1}\sum_j\int_{Q_j}\|f(x)\|dx \le C_d\lambda^{-1}|||f|||_1.$$

It remains to treat the second term. By Chebyshev's inequality, we first have

$$\begin{aligned}|(\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\Omega^*)\cap\{\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})b > \lambda/2\}| &\le C_{q_0}\lambda^{-q_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\Omega^*}|\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})b(x)|^{q_0}dx\\ &= C_{q_0}\lambda^{-q_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\Omega^*}\sum_k\|A_{2^k}b - \mathcal{E}_k b(x)\|^{q_0}dx.\end{aligned}$$

In order to finish the proof, we shall use again the almost orthogonality principle Lemma 2.5. Write b as

$$b = \sum_n\sum_{j:Q_j\in\mathcal{Q}_n} b_j = \sum_n h_n.$$

Clearly, $x\notin Q^*$ implies $\mathcal{E}_k b = 0$, for every k. Indeed, if $k\le n$, then $\mathcal{E}_k h_n(x) = 0$ since the atom of σ_k containing x is disjoint from the support of h_n; if $n<k$, for each $Q_j\in\mathcal{Q}_n$, there exists an atom of σ_k containing Q_j. Then by Lemma 2.6, (vi), we get $\mathcal{E}_k b_j(x) = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{E}_k h_n(x) = 0$.

On the other hand, $A_{2^k}h_n(x) = 0$ for $k\le n$. This is because $x + B_{2^k}$ is disjoint from any of the Q_j in the support of h_n. By these discussions, it suffices to prove

$$\sum_k\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\Omega^*}\|A_{2^k}\sum_{n<k}h_n\| \le C_{q_0}\lambda^{q_0}\sum_j|Q_j|.$$

since we have $\sum_j|Q_j| \le |||f|||_1$ by using Lemma 2.6 again. Set $d_n = \sum_{Q_j\in\mathcal{Q}_n}\mathbb{1}_{Q_j}$, Then we have

$$\sum_n\|d_n\|_{q_0}^{q_0} = \sum_n\|d_n\|_1 = \sum_n\sum_{Q_j\in\mathcal{Q}_n}|Q_j|.$$

Hence takeing $\mathbb{S}_k g = |A_{2_k}g\|$, $u_n = h_n$, $v_n = d_n$ and $\sigma(j) = C2^{-|j|/q_0}$ in Lemma 2.5, it suffices to prove for $k > n$

$$|||A_{2^k}h_n|||_{q_0}^{q_0} \le C_{q_0}2^{n-k}\lambda^{q_0}\|d_n\|_{q_0}^{q_0}.$$

This follows by integrating both sides of the following pointwise inequality

$$\|A_{2^k}h_n\|^{q_0} \le C_{q_0}2^{n-k}\lambda^{q_0}A_{2^{k+1}}|d_n| \tag{2.17}$$

using the fact $d_n = d_n^{q_0}$. Let us give a notation which we have used several times:

$$\mathcal{I}(x+B_{2^k},n) = \cup\{x+B_{2^k}\cap Q_i | Q_i\in\mathcal{Q}_n,\ x+\partial B_{2^k}\cap Q_i\neq\emptyset\}.$$

Then by (ii) in Lemma 2.6 and noting that $n<k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\int_{x+B_{2^k}} h_n(x)dx\Big\| &= \int_{\mathcal{I}(B_{2^k})}\|h_n(x)\|dx \le \sum_{\substack{Q_i\in\mathcal{Q}_n\\ x+\partial B_{2^k}\cap Q_i\neq\emptyset}}\int_{Q_i}\|h_n(x)\|dx\\ &\le 2\cdot 2^d\lambda\sum_{\substack{Q_i\in\mathcal{Q}_n\\ x+\partial B_{2^k}\cap Q_i\neq\emptyset}} Q_i = C\lambda\int_{x+B_{2^{k+1}}}|d_n|.\end{aligned}$$

Since we know that the measure of $\mathcal{I}(x+B_{2^k},n)$ is not more than a constant multiple of $2^n2^{(d-1)k}$, we then give another estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|}\int_{x+B_k} h_n(x)dx\Big\| &\le \frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|}\int_{\mathcal{I}(B_{2^k})}\|h_n(x)\|dx \le \frac{C\lambda}{|B_{2^k}|}\int_{\mathcal{I}(B_{2^k})}|d_n(x)|dx\\ &\le C\frac{\lambda|\mathcal{I}(B_{2^k})|}{|B_{2^k}|} \le C\frac{\lambda 2^n2^{(d-1)k}}{2^{kd}} \le C2^{n-k}\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Putting the above two estimates together, we then deduce (2.17) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_{2^k}h_n\|^{q_0} &= \|A_{2^k}h_n\|^{q_0-1}\|A_{2^k}h_n\|\\ &\le C2^{(n-k)(q_0-1)}\lambda^{q_0}A_{2^{k+1}}|d_n| \le C2^{n-k}\lambda^{q_0}A_{2^{k+1}}|d_n|.\end{aligned}$$

Then we finish the proof of weak $(1,1)$ of the long variation operator. □

The proof of weak type (1,1) estimate (2.9) in Theorem 2.4 is a variant of that in Theorem 2.3. Let us explain it briefly.

Proof. By the fact that $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(A)$ is of strong type (q_0,q_0), it suffices to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\Omega^*}\sum_k\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\|A_{t_{i+1}}b - A_{t_i}b\|^{q_0} \le C_{q_0}\lambda^{q_0}\sum_j|Q_j|.$$

By an argument similar to the one given in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we only need to show the pointwise estimate

$$\sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\|A_{t_{i+1}}h_n - A_{t_i}h_n\|^{q_0} \le C_{q_0}2^{n-k}\lambda^{q_0}A_{2^{k+2}}|d_n|. \tag{2.18}$$

The left hand side can be controlled by, modulo a constant depending on q_0, the sum of

$$J_1 = \sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k}\Big\|\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\int_{x+(B_{t_{i+1}}\setminus B_{t_i})}h_n\Big\|^{q_0}$$

and

$$J_2 = \sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k} \big(\frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|} - \frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\big)^{q_0} \big\| \sup_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}} \int_{x+B_{t_i}} h_n \big\|^{q_0}.$$

The first term J_1 can be treated as

$$J_1 \le C_{q_0} 2^{n-k} \frac{1}{2^{kd}} \sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k} \int_{x+(B_{t_{i+1}}\setminus B_{t_i})} \|h_n\|^{q_0} \le C_{q_0} 2^{n-k} \frac{1}{2^{kd}} \int_{x+B_{2^{k+1}}} \|h_n\|^{q_0}.$$

The second term J_2 can be treated as

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\le C \sum_{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k} \big(\frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|} - \frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\big)^{q_0} \int_{x+B_{2^k}} \big\|h_n\big\|^{q_0} \\ &\le C\big(\frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|} - \frac{1}{|B_{2^{k+1}}|}\big)^{q_0} \int_{x+B_{2^k}} \big\|h_n\big\|^{q_0} \le C_{q_0} 2^{n-k} \frac{1}{2^{kd}} \int_{x+B_{2^k}} \big\|h_n\big\|^{q_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we use the similar arguments as the preceding proof of the long variation. And give

$$J_1 + J_2 \le C_{q_0} 2^{n-k} \lambda^{q_0} A_{2^{k+2}} |d_n|.$$

This is just (2.18). □

2.3. (L_c^∞, BMO) **estimates.** Since $\|f\|_{BMO_d} \le \|g\|_{BMO_d}$ can not be deduced from $f \le g$, the fact that $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})$ is bounded from $L_c^\infty(\mathcal{B})$ to BMO_d can not be directly deduced from the (L_c^∞, BMO) estimates of $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{E})$ through (2.4). However, we will see at the end of this subsection that an argument similar to the proof of (2.4) will be used to deduce the estimate for $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})$ follows from the similar estimates for $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$.

We shall use the equivalent definition of BMO norm (or BMO_d norm),

$$\|g\|_{BMO} \simeq \sup_Q \inf_{a_Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |g - a_Q|.$$

Let us first prove that $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ is bounded from $L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{B})$ to $BMO_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Give $f \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathcal{B})$, and a dyadic cube Q. We decompose f as $f = f\mathbb{1}_{Q^*} + f\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus Q^*} = f_1 + f_2$, where Q^* is the cube with the same center as Q but three times the side length as the definition in Lemma 2.6. We shall take $a_Q = \mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A}) f_2(c_Q)$ where c_Q is the center of Q. Write $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f - a_Q$ as

$$\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f - a_Q = \mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f - \mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f_2 + \mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f_2 - a_Q,$$

by triangle inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f - a_Q| \\
&\le \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f - \mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f_2| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f_2(x) - \mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})f_2(c_Q)|dx \\
&\le \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})(f_1)| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \big(\sum_k \|A_{2^k}f_2(x) - \mathcal{E}_k f_2(x) - (A_{2^k}f_2(c_Q) - \mathcal{E}_k f_2(c_Q))\|^{q_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}dx \\
&= I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term I is easily estimated by the fact that $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ is of strong type (q_0, q_0). Indeed,

$$I \le \big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})(f_1)|^{q_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \le C_{q_0}\big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\|f_1\|^{q_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \le C_{q_0,d}|||f|||_\infty.$$

The second term II is controlled by a constant multiple of $|||f|||_\infty$ once we prove that for any $x \in Q$

$$\big(\sum_k \|A_{2^k}f_2(x) - \mathcal{E}_k f_2(x) - (A_{2^k}f_2(c_Q) - \mathcal{E}_k f_2(c_Q))\|^{q_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \le C_{q_0}|||f|||_\infty.$$

If $2^k < \ell(Q)$, then $\mathcal{E}_k f_2$ is supported in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q$ and $B_{2^k} + x$ is contained in Q^* since for any $y \in B_{2^k}$

$$|x_i + y_i - (c_Q)_i| \le |x_i - (c_Q)_i| + |y_i| \le \frac{1}{2}\ell(Q) + 2^k < \frac{3}{2}\ell(Q),$$

where x_i denotes the ith element of x. Then in this case, we get

$$A_{2^k}f_2(x) - \mathcal{E}_k f_2(x) - (A_{2^k}f_2(c_Q) - \mathcal{E}_k f_2(c_Q)) = 0, \text{ for any } x \in Q.$$

Hence it suffices to consider the case $2^k \ge \ell(Q)$. Note that in this case, Q should be contained in some atom of σ_k, so $\mathcal{E}_k f_2(x) = \mathcal{E}_k f_2(c_Q)$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_{2^k}f_2(x) - A_{2^k}f_2(c_Q)\| &= \frac{1}{|B_{2^k}|}\|\int_{B_{2^k}+x} f_2 - \int_{B_{2^k}+c_Q} f_2\| \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{kd}}\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_2(\mathbb{1}_{B_{2^k}+c_Q\setminus B_{2^k}+x} - \mathbb{1}_{B_{2^k}+c_Q\setminus B_{2^k}+x})\| \\
&\le \frac{1}{2^{kd}}|||f|||_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_{B_{2^k}+c_Q\triangle B_{2^k}+x} \\
&\le \frac{1}{2^{kd}}|B_{2^k} + c_Q \triangle B_{2^k} + x| \cdot |||f|||_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the fact that $|B_{2^k}+c_Q\triangle B_{2^k}+x|\le C|x-c_Q|^d\le C|Q|$ yields

$$\|A_{2^k}f_2(x)-A_{2^k}f_2(c_Q)\|\le C|Q|\cdot\|\|f\|\|_\infty\frac{1}{2^{kd}}.$$

Finally, the fact that ℓ^{q_0} norm is not bigger than ℓ^1 norm implies

$$II\le C|Q|\cdot\|\|f\|\|_\infty\sum_{2^k\ge\ell(Q)}\frac{1}{2^{kd}}\le C\|\|f\|\|_\infty.$$

□

The proof of (L^∞_c,BMO) boundedness of $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$ is a variant of that of $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$, let us give a brief explanation.

Proof. Give $f\in L^\infty_c(\mathcal{B})$, and a cube Q. By an argument similar to that in the proof for $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$, it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{2^k\ge\ell(Q)}\sum_{2^k<t_i<t_{i+1}\le2^{k+1}}\|A_{t_{i+1}}f_2(x)-A_{t_i}f_2(x)-(A_{t_{i+1}}f_2(c_Q)-A_{t_i}f_2(c_Q))\|^{q_0},$$

denoted by I^{q_0}, is dominated by $\|\|f\|\|^{q_0}_\infty$ modulo a constant for any $x\in Q$ and any sequence $(t_i)_i$. In the short variation case, we further split the sum in $\mathcal{S}_k$ to two parts by comparing $t_{i+1}-t_i$ and $\ell(Q)$. We shall denote by II the part in which $t_{i+1}-t_i<\ell(Q)$, by III the part where $t_{i+1}-t_i\ge\ell(Q)$. Hence I is dominated by triangle inequality by $II+III$. Let us first estimate II. By the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}II\le&\big(\sum_{2^k\ge\ell(Q)}\sum_{\substack{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k\\ t_{i+1}-t_i<\ell(Q)}}\|A_{t_{i+1}}f_2(x)-A_{t_i}f_2(x)\|^{q_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\\&+\big(\sum_{2^k\ge\ell(Q)}\sum_{\substack{I_i\in\mathcal{S}_k\\ t_{i+1}-t_i<\ell(Q)}}\|A_{t_{i+1}}f_2(c_Q)-A_{t_i}f_2(c_Q)\|^{q_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}.\end{aligned}$$

By the fact that $(B_{t_i})_i$ are nested for i, for any $z\in Q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|A_{t_{i+1}}f_2(z)-A_{t_i}f_2(z)\|\\&=\big\|\big(\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}-\frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|}\big)\int_{B_{t_i}+z}f_2+\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\int_{(B_{t_{i+1}}+z)\setminus(B_{t_i}+z)}f_2\big\|\\&\le\big(\frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|}-\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\big)\int_{B_{t_i}+z}\|f_2\|+\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\int_{(B_{t_{i+1}}+z)\setminus(B_{t_i}+z)}\|f_2\|\\&\le\big(\frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|}-\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\big)|B_{t_i}|\|\|f_2\|\|_\infty+\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}(|B_{t_{i+1}}|-|B_{t_i}|)\|\|f_2\|\|_\infty\\&=2(|B_{t_{i+1}}|-|B_{t_i}|)\frac{1}{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\|\|f\|\|_\infty\\&\le C\|\|f\|\|_\infty\int_{|B_{t_i}|}^{|B_{t_{i+1}}|}\frac{1}{u}du,\end{aligned}$$

then by the Hölder inequality and the fact that $t_{i+1}-t_i \le \ell(Q)$ in this case,

$$\le C|||f|||_\infty (|B_{t_{i+1}}| - |B_{t_i}|)^{1-\frac{1}{q_0}} \Big(\int_{|B_{t_i}|}^{|B_{t_{i+1}}|} \frac{1}{u^{q_0}} du \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}$$

$$\le C_{d,q_0} |||f|||_\infty \ell(Q)^{1-\frac{1}{q_0}} |t_i|^{(d-1)(1-\frac{1}{q_0})} \Big(\int_{|B_{t_i}|}^{|B_{t_{i+1}}|} \frac{1}{u^{q_0}} du \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}.$$

Hence

$$II \le C_{d,q_0} \ell(Q)^{1-\frac{1}{q_0}} |||f|||_\infty \Big(\sum_{2^k \ge \ell(Q)} 2^{k(d-1)(q_0-1)} \sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \int_{|B_{t_i}|}^{|B_{t_{i+1}}|} \frac{1}{u^{q_0}} du \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}$$

$$\le C_{d,q_0} \ell(Q)^{1-\frac{1}{q_0}} |||f|||_\infty \Big(\sum_{2^k \ge \ell(Q)} 2^{k(d-1)(q_0-1)} \int_{2^{kd}}^{2^{(k+1)d}} \frac{1}{u^{q_0}} du \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}$$

$$\le C_{d,q_0} \ell(Q)^{1-\frac{1}{q_0}} |||f|||_\infty \Big(\sum_{2^k \ge \ell(Q)} \frac{1}{2^{k(q_0-1)}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}$$

$$\le C_{d,q_0} |||f|||_\infty.$$

We end the proof by the estimate of III. By the triangle inequality,

$$III \le \Big(\sum_{2^k \ge \ell(Q)} \sum_{\substack{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k \\ t_{i+1}-t_i \ge \ell(Q)}} \|A_{t_{i+1}} f_2(x) - A_{t_{i+1}} f_2(c_Q)\|^{q_0} \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}$$

$$+ \Big(\sum_{2^k \ge \ell(Q)} \sum_{\substack{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k \\ t_{i+1}-t_i \ge \ell(Q)}} \|A_{t_i} f_2(x) - A_{t_i} f_2(c_Q)\|^{q_0} \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}.$$

By an argument similar to that in the proof for $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$, for any B_{t_i} we have

$$\|A_{t_i} f_2(x) - A_{t_i} f_2(c_Q)\| \le C|Q|||f|||_\infty \frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|}.$$

Note that the interval of $I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k$ such that $t_{i+1}-t_i \ge \ell(Q)$ is smaller $2^k/\ell(Q)$ modulo a constant, hence

$$III \le C|Q|||f|||_\infty \Big(\sum_{2^k \ge \ell(Q)} \sum_{\substack{I_i \in \mathcal{S}_k \\ t_{i+1}-t_i \ge \ell(Q)}} \frac{1}{|B_{t_i}|^{q_0}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}$$

$$\le C_{q_0} |Q|||f|||_\infty \Big(\sum_{2^k \ge \ell(Q)} \frac{2^k}{\ell(Q)} \frac{1}{2^{kdq_0}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}$$

$$\le C_{q_0} |||f|||_\infty.$$

□

Now let us explain briefly the proof of the fact that $\mathcal{V}_q(\mathcal{A})$ is bounded from $L^\infty_c(\mathcal{B})$ to BMO_d. Give $f \in L^\infty_c(\mathcal{B})$ and a cube Q, use the fact that ℓ^q

norm is not bigger than ℓ^{q_0} norm for $q > q_0$, it suffices to prove

$$\big(\sum_{(t_i)_i} \|A_{t_{i+1}} f_2(x) - A_{t_i} f_2(x) - (A_{t_{i+1}} f_2(c_Q) - A_{t_i} f_2(c_Q))\|^{q_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}},$$

is dominated by $\|\|f\|\|_\infty$ modulo a constant for any $x \in Q$ and any regular sequence $(A_{t_i})_i$. As in the proof of (2.4), we divide the intervals I_i's into two sets $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{L}$. Then the term $\sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{S}}$ is dealt with in the same way as that for $\mathcal{SV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$.

For $I_i \in \mathcal{L}$, we can rewrite $I_i = (2^{n_i}, 2^{n_{i+1}}]$. Then in the term $\sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{L}}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_{t_{i+1}} f_2(x) - A_{t_i} f_2(x) - (A_{t_{i+1}} f_2(c_Q) - A_{t_i} f_2(c_Q)) \\
&= A_{2^{n_{i+1}}} f_2(x) - \mathcal{E}_{n_{i+1}} f_2(x) - (A_{2^{n_{i+1}}} f_2(c_Q) - \mathcal{E}_{n_{i+1}} f_2(c_Q)) \\
&+ A_{2^{n_i}} f_2(x) - \mathcal{E}_{n_i} f_2(x) - (A_{2^{n_i}} f_2(c_Q) - \mathcal{E}_{n_i} f_2(c_Q)) \\
&+ \mathcal{E}_{n_{i+1}} f_2(x) - \mathcal{E}_{n_i} f_2(x) - (\mathcal{E}_{n_{i+1}} f_2(c_Q) - \mathcal{E}_{n_i} f_2(c_Q)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{t_i}$ is defined to be $2^k < t_i \le 2^{k+1}$. Then by triangle inequality, the three associated terms on the right hand side of the previous equality can be dealt with the same way as that for $\mathcal{LV}_{q_0}(\mathcal{A})$.

The same argument for the proof of Theorem 2.1 works also for vector-valued q-variation associated to the family of differential operators on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. For the application to ergodic theory, let us state our results as follows in the case $d = 1$. Let $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}; \mathcal{B})$. For any integer $n \ge 0$, define

$$A_n f(j) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(j-k).$$

Corollary 2.7. *Let $2 \le q_0 < q < \infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is of martingale cotype q_0. Then for any $1 < p < \infty$, there exist a constant $C_{p,q}$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_q(A) f\|_p \le C_{p,q} \|\|f\|\|_p, \ \forall f \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}; \mathcal{B}); \tag{2.19}$$

3. Necessity of Rademacher cotype q

As in the martingale case, one may wonder whether a reverse statement of Theorem 2.1 remains true. At the moment of writing, we do not know how to conclude that $\mathcal{B}$ is of martingale cotype q from the inequality (2.1). But we can prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $2 \le q < \infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space. If there exists a $1 < p < \infty$ and a constant $C_{p,q}$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_q(A) f\|_p \le C_{p,q} \|\|f\|\|_p, \ \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}), \tag{3.1}$$

then $\mathcal{B}$ is of Rademacher cotype q.

From the proof of Theorem 2.1, we see that the previous inequality (3.1) holds for all $1 < p < \infty$. In particular, we will use the inequality in the case $p = q$. Two transference lemmata are needed for the proof of Theorem

3.1. The first one says that inequality (3.1) remains true if the differential operator A is replaced with Poisson integral P.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $P(x) = 1/\pi(1+|x|^2)^{-1}$ and $P_t(x) = 1/tP(x/t)$. Define $P_t f(x) = P_t * f(x)$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space. If inequality (3.1) holds, then*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_q(P)f\|_p \le C_{p,q}\|\|f\|\|_p,\ \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{B}). \tag{3.2}$$

The same argument as in Lemma 2.4 of [3] can be repeated word by word to show Lemma 3.2, since $\mathcal{B}$ is a Banach space. We leave the details to the interesting readers.

Remark 3.3. (i) Actually, Lemma 3.2 is true not only for Poisson integrals but for all even functions Φ satisfying $\lim_{x\to\infty}\Phi(x) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Phi'(x)xdx < \infty$. (ii) A higher dimensional version of Lemma 3.2 as well as previous (i) remains holding, see Lemma 2.4 of [3].

The second lemma says that we can obtain inequality 3.2 for Poisson kernel $\mathbb{P}$ on the circle using transference technique developed in [12].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathbb{P}_t(\theta) = \sum_n e^{-t|n|}e^{2\pi in\theta}$ be the Poisson kernel for the unit disc. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space. If inequality (3.1) holds, then*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_q(\mathbb{P})f\|_p \le C_{p,q}\|\|f\|\|_p,\ \forall f \in L^p([0,1];\mathcal{B}). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Given $f \in L^p([0,1];\mathcal{B})$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, define $T^x f(\theta) = f(\theta + x)$. It can be easily checked that $\{T^x : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a strongly continuous one-parameter group of positive invertible linear operators satisfying $\mathbf{SH}_p$ in Page 135 of [12]. Then by Remark 2.13 in [12], we have

$$\left\|\sup_{(t_i)_i}\left(\sum_i\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(P_{t_i}(x) - P_{t_{i+1}}(x))f(\theta - x)dx\right\|^q\right)^{1/q}\right\|_p \le C_{p,q}\|\|f\|\|_p. \tag{3.4}$$

By the Poisson summation formula, for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}_t(x) = \sum_n e^{-t|n|}e^{2\pi inx} = \sum_n P_t(x+n).$$

Hence, by the fact that $f(\theta + n) = f(\theta)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_t(x)f(\theta - x)dx &= \sum_n\int_{[0,1]+n} P_t(x)f(\theta - x)dx\\ &= \sum_n\int_{[0,1]} P_t(x+n)f(\theta - x - n)dx\\ &= \int_{[0,1]}\sum_n P_t(x+n)f(\theta - x)dx\\ &= \int_{[0,1]}\mathbb{P}_t(x)f(\theta - x)dx = \mathbb{P}_t * f(\theta),\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.4), implies the desired inequality (3.3). □

Now we are at a position to prove Theorem 3.1. We follow an argument given by Pisier in Proposition 7.5 of [28].

Proof. Following the remark after Theorem 3.1, by Lemma 3.2 and 3.4, we have for any sequence $(t_i)_i$

$$\sum_i \left\| \|\mathbb{P}_{t_i} * f - \mathbb{P}_{t_{i+1}} * f\| \right\|_q^q \le C_q^q \| \|f\| \|_q, \ \forall\ f \in L^q([0,1];\mathcal{B}). \tag{3.5}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\|(\mathbb{P}_{t_i} * f - \mathbb{P}_{t_{i+1}} * f)^\wedge(2^i)\| = |e^{-t_i 2^i} - e^{-t_{i+1}2^i}| \|\hat{f}(2^i)\|.$$

On the other hand, by Hölder inequality

$$\|(\mathbb{P}_{t_i} * f - \mathbb{P}_{t_{i+1}} * f)^\wedge(2^i)\| \le \left\| \|\mathbb{P}_{t_i} * f - \mathbb{P}_{t_{i+1}} * f\| \right\|_q .$$

Take $t_i = \log(1 + 1/(2^i - 1))$, then

$$|e^{-t_i 2^i} - e^{-t_{i+1}2^i}| \to e^{-1} - e^{-2} > 0.$$

Hence we must have

$$\left\| \|\mathbb{P}_{t_i} * f - \mathbb{P}_{t_{i+1}} * f\| \right\|_q \ge 2^{-1}(e^{-1} - e^{-2}) \|\hat{f}(2^i)\|$$

for all i sufficiently large. Therefore there exists i_0 such that for all $(t_i)_{i \ge i_0}$, (3.5) yields

$$\left(\sum_{i \ge i_0} \|\hat{f}(2^i)\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le C_q \| \|f\| \|_q. \tag{3.6}$$

The fact that (3.6) implies Rademacher cotype q is well known. Indeed for any fixed sequence of signs $\varepsilon_k = \pm 1$ and any sequence $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ in $\mathcal{B}$ we deduce from (3.6) that

$$\left(\sum_k \|x_k\|^q \right)^{1/q} \le C_q \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k e^{2\pi i 2^k \theta} x_k \right\|_{L^q([0,1])} .$$

Taking the L^q-norm of both sides with respect to $(\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_n)$, by Fubini's theorem and the contraction principle we obtain

$$\left(\sum_k \|x_k\|^q \right)^{1/q} \le C_q \left\| \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k e^{2\pi i 2^k \theta} x_k \right\|_q \right\|_{L^q([0,1])} \le \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k e^{2\pi i 2^k \theta} x_k \right\|_q .$$

□

4. Ergodic Averages

It is well known that many results in harmonic analysis on $\mathbb{Z}$ can be transferred to the ergodic theory. In this section, we will show that the vector-valued q-variation for the ergodic averages is also bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{B})$ with $1 < p < \infty$. Let (Ω_1, μ) and (Ω_2, μ) be two measure spaces. An operator $T : L^p(\Omega_1) \to L^p(\Omega_2)$ is called contractively regular if the following inequality holds

$$\left\| \sup_{k\geq 1} |T(x_k)| \right\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)} \leq \left\| \sup_{k\geq 1} |x_k| \right\|_{L^p(\Omega_2)}.$$

for any finite sequence $(x_k)_{k\geq 1}$ in $L^p(\Omega_1)$. Any contractively regular operator T can be extended to a contractive operator on the Bochner space $L^p(\Omega_1; E)$ for any Banach space E, i.e.

$$\|T \otimes I_E : L^p(\Omega_1; E) \to L^p(\Omega_2; E)\| \leq 1. \tag{4.1}$$

In this paper, any extension of any operator S will be still denoted by S when no confusion occurs.

Obviously, positive contractions are regular. On the other hand, it is easy to check that if T is a contraction on $L^1(\Omega_1)$ and $L^\infty(\Omega_1)$, then T is contractively regular on $L^p(\Omega_1)$. We refer to [25], [27] and [29] for more details and complements.

Let $T = (T_t)_{t>0}$ be a bounded strong continuous semigroup. For any $t > 0$, the ergodic averages of T is defined as

$$M_t(T) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T_r dr.$$

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $T = (T_t)_{t>0}$ be a strong continuous semigroup on $L^p(\Omega)$ and every T_t is contractively regular. Let $2 \leq q_0 < q < \infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be of martingale cotype q_0. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an UMD lattice having Fatou property. Then there exist a constant $C_{p,q}$ such that*

$$\left\| \omega \to \|((M_t(T)f)(\omega))_{t\geq 0}\|_{V_q(\mathcal{B})} \right\|_p \leq C_{p,q} \|\|f\|\|_p. \tag{4.2}$$

This proof is based on the transference principle, together with Theorem 2.1.

Proof. By Fendler's dilation theorem [10], there exist another measure space $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mu})$, a strongly continuous group $U = U_{t t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of regular isometries on $Lp(\hat{\Omega})$, a positive isometric embedding Q from $L_p(\Omega)$ into $L_p(\hat{\Omega})$ and a regular projection J from $L_p(\hat{\Omega})$ onto $L_p(\Omega)$ such that $T_t = QU_tJ$, for any $t > 0$.

Given $f \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B})$. It is easy to check that

$$\|(M_t(T)f)_{t>0}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{B}(V_q))} = \lim_{a\to\infty} \|(M_t(T)f)_{0<t<a}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{B}(V_q))}.$$

Now fix an integer $a > 0$. For any $t > 0$, we clearly have

$$M_t(T) = QM_t(U)J.$$

Since $\|Q\|_r \leq 1$, it follows from (4.1) that

$$\|(M_t(T)f)_{0<t<a}\|_{L^p(\Omega;V_q(\mathcal{B}))} \leq \|(M_t(U)J(f))_{0<t<a}\|_{L^p(\hat{\Omega};V_q(\mathcal{B}))}. \tag{4.3}$$

Using the regularity of U, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(M_t(U)J(f))_{0<t<a}\|_{L^p(\hat{\Omega};V_q(\mathcal{B}))} &= \|(M_t(U)U^{-s}U^sJ(f))_{0<t<a}\|_{L^p(\hat{\Omega};V_q(\mathcal{B}))}\\ &\leq \|(M_t(U)U^sJ(f))_{0<t<a}\|_{L^p(\hat{\Omega};V_q(\mathcal{B}))}\end{aligned}$$

for any $s > 0$. Hence for any $b > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(M_t(U)J(f))_{0<t<a}\|^p_{L^p(\hat{\Omega};V_q(\mathcal{B}))}\\ &\leq \frac{1}{b}\int_0^b \|(M_t(U)U^sJ(f))_{0<t<a}\|^p_{L^p(\hat{\Omega};V_q(\mathcal{B}))}\\ &= \frac{1}{b}\int_{\hat{\Omega}}\int_0^b \|(M_t(U)U^sJ(f))_{0<t<a}\|^p_{V_q(\mathcal{B}))}d\hat{\omega}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we define a $\mathcal{B}$-valued function on $\mathbb{R}\times\hat{\Omega}$, $g(t,\hat{\omega}) = \chi_{0,a+b}(t)U^tJ(f)(\hat{\omega})$. Then

$$M_t(U)U^sJ(f)(\hat{\omega}) = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t U^{r+s}J(f)(\hat{\omega}) = A_t(g(\cdot,\hat{\omega}))(s).$$

Now apply Theorem 2.1, using the regularity of U and J, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(M_t(U)J(f))_{0<t<a}\|^p_{L^p(\hat{\Omega};V_q(\mathcal{B}))} \leq C^p_{p,q}\frac{1}{b}\int_{\hat{\Omega}}\int_0^{a+b}\|g(s,\hat{\omega})\|^p_{\mathcal{B}}d\hat{\omega}\\ &\leq\leq C^p_{p,q}\frac{1}{b}\int_{\hat{\Omega}}\int_0^{a+b}\|J(f)(\hat{\omega})\|^p_{\mathcal{B}}d\hat{\omega} \leq \frac{a+b}{b}C^p_{p,q}\|f\|^p_{L^p(\mathcal{B})}.\end{aligned}$$

□

A discret version of Theorem 4.1 is also true by the same transference techniques together with Corollary 2.7. Let $T : L^p(\Omega) \to L^p(\Omega)$ be a contractively regular operator. For any integer $n \geq 0$, the ergodic averages of T is defined as

$$M_n(T) = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{k=0}^n T^k.$$

Corollary 4.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $2 \leq q_0 < q < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be of martingale cotype q_0. Then there exist a constant $C_{p,q}$ such that*

$$\|(M_n(T)f)_{n\geq 0}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))}\| \leq C_{p,q}\|\|f\|\|_p,\ \forall f \in L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B}). \tag{4.4}$$

5. Symmetric diffusion semigroups

The aim of this section is to show that the result in Theorem 4.1 is still true for the symmetric semigroups themselves instead of the ergodic averages. We need the following lemma, which is a vector-valued version of Lemma 2.2 in [19]. We omit its proof.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(f_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a family of $L^p(\Omega;E)$ and assume that:*

(i) *For a.e. $\omega\in\Omega$, the function $t\to f_t(\omega)$ is continuous in E on $(0,\infty)$;*
(ii) *There exists a constant $C>0$ such that whenever $t_0<t_1<\cdots<t_m$ is a finite increasing sequence of positive real numbers, we have*

$$\|(f_{t_0},f_{t_1},\cdots,f_{t_m})\|_{L^p(\Omega;v_q^m(E))}\leq C.$$

Then $(f_t(\omega))_{t>0}$ belongs to $V_q(E)$ for a.e. $\omega\in\Omega$, and the resulting function belongs to $L^p(\Omega)$, i.e.

$$\big\|\omega\to\|(f_t(\omega))_{t>0}\|_{V_q(E)}\big\|_p\leq C.$$

The main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a symmetric diffusion semigroup. Let $2\leq q_0<\infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space of martingale cotype q_0 which is an interpolation space between a Hilbert space and another Banach space B_0 of martingale cotype q_1 with $2\leq q_1<\infty$. Then for any $q_0<q<\infty$, any integer $m\geq 0$ and any $f\in L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B})$, the family $\big(t^m\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}(T_t(f))\big)_{t>0}$ belongs to $V_q(\mathcal{B})$ for a.e. $\omega\in\Omega$ and we have an estimate*

$$\Big\|\|\omega\to\big(t^m\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}(T_t(f))\big)_{t>0}\|_{V_q(\mathcal{B})}\Big\|_p\lesssim\|\|f\|\|_p,\ \forall f\in L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B}).\tag{5.1}$$

In particular, when $m=0$, the family $(T_t(f))_{t>0}$ belongs to $V_q(\mathcal{B})$ for a.e. $\omega\in\Omega$ and we have an estimate

$$\big\|\|\omega\to(T_t(f))_{t>0}\|_{V_q(\mathcal{B})}\big\|_p\lesssim\|\|f\|\|_p,\ \forall f\in L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B}).$$

Remark 5.3. When $\mathcal{B}$ is a Banach lattice of martingale cotype q_0, from the argument after Problem 2 in [21], there exists another Banach lattice B_0 of martingale cotype q_1 with $q_1\geq 2$. On the other hand, noncommutative L_{q_0} spaces are also Banach spaces satisfying the interpolation property.

As said previously, we first prove a discrete version, then the continuous case will be deduced from the discrete case by approximation. Let $T:L^p(\Omega)\to L^p(\Omega)$ and let

$$\Delta_n^m\equiv\Delta_n^m(T)=T^n(T-I)^m$$

for any integers $n,m\geq 0$. Note that $(\Delta_n^m)_{n\geq 0}$ is the m-difference sequence of $(T^n)_{n\geq 0}$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $2 \le q_0 < \infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space of martingale cotype q_0. Assume T is a contractively regular operator on $L^p(\Omega)$ such that for any integer $m \ge 0$ and any $f \in L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B})$,*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \|(n+1)^{m+1} \Delta_n^{m+1}(f)\|^{q_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \right\|_p \le C_{m,q_0} |||f|||_p. \tag{5.2}$$

Then we have

$$\|(n^m \Delta_n^m(f))_{n\ge 1}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))} \lesssim |||f|||_p, \ \forall f \in L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B}). \tag{5.3}$$

for any integer $m \ge 0$. In particular, when $m = 0$, we get the estimate for the discrete semigroup

$$\|(T^n(f))_{n\ge 1}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))} \lesssim |||f|||_p, \ \forall f \in L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B}).$$

This proposition will be shown later. Let us first prove Theorem 5.2, which requires the fractional averages on the power of T (see Page 409 in [18]). Given a complex number α and a nonnegative integer n, set

$$A_n^\alpha = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\cdots(\alpha+n)}{n!}$$

and

$$S_n^\alpha \equiv S_n^\alpha(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} T^k, \ M_n^\alpha \equiv M_n^\alpha(T) = (n+1)^{-\alpha} S_n^\alpha.$$

The $(M_n^\alpha)_{n\ge 0}$ are the fractional averages of $(T^n)_{n\ge 0}$. Note that $M_n^0 = T^n$ and M_n^1 is the usual ergodic average M_n already considered before. Also if α is a negative integer $-m$, then $S_n^{-m} = \Delta_n^m$. Thus $M_n^{-m} = (n+1)^m \Delta_n^m$.

Now we are ready to show Theorem 5.2.

Proof. Let $m \ge 0$ be an integer. By the comments after (4.1), we have T_t extends contractively on all $L^p(\Omega;\mathcal{B})$ with $1 \le p \le \infty$ for any Banach spaces and any $t > 0$. Then by the density argument, it follows from the lemma in Page 72 of [32] that the function

$$t \to t^m \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}(T_t(f))(\omega)$$

is continuous in $\mathcal{B}$ for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$. To finish the proof, it suffices to show that T_t satisfy the estimate (5.3) uniformly. Indeed, then using an approximation argument as in the proof of Corollary 4.2 in [19], we deduce that for any $0 < t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m$, we have

$$\|(T_{t_0}(f), T_{t_1}(f), \cdots, T_{t_m}(f))\|_{L^p(v_q^m(\mathcal{B}))} \le C|||f|||_p.$$

The desired result then follows from Lemma 5.1.

Following from Proposition 5.4, it suffices to prove that T_t satisfy the estimate (5.2) uniformly which will be deduced by complex interpolation

from the following two estimates

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \|(n+1)\Delta_n M_n^{\alpha}(f)\|_{B_0}^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \right\|_p \leq C_{m,q_1} |||f|||_p \tag{5.4}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $Re(\alpha) > 1$ and $f \in L^p(\Omega; B_0)$, and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \|(n+1)\Delta_n M_n^{\alpha}(f)\|_H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p \leq C_m |||f|||_p \tag{5.5}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in L^p(\Omega; H)$.

As in [32], the estimate (5.4) follows from the same inequality in the case $\alpha = 1$, which is a further consequence of Lemma 2.4 in [21], since T_t is the square of $T_{t/2}$ and hence admits Rota's dilation. And the estimate (5.5) can be deduced again by complex interpolation from the following two estimates

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \|(n+1)\Delta_n M_n^{\alpha}(f)\|_H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2 \leq C_m |||f|||_2 \tag{5.6}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in L^p(\Omega; H)$, and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \|(n+1)\Delta_n M_n^{\alpha}(f)\|_H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p \leq C_m |||f|||_p \tag{5.7}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $Re(\alpha) > 1$ and $f \in L^p(\Omega; H)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$.

Finally, estimate (5.6) follows from spectral decomposition as in [32] and estimate (5.7) follows again from Rota's dilation since T_t is the square of $T_{t/2}$. □

Now we are at a position to prove Proposition 5.4 following the pattern set up in [19], we need the following two elementary estimates whose proof is left for readers.

Lemma 5.5. *For any integer $m \geq 0$ and $2 \leq q_0 < \infty$, there exists a constant K_{m,q_0} such that for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\left(\sum_{j=n}^{2n} (j+1)^{\frac{1-q_0 m}{q_0 - 1}} \right)^{\frac{q_0 - 1}{q_0}} \leq K_{m,q_0} n^{1-m}.$$

Lemma 5.6. *For any sequences $(\delta_n)_{n\geq 0} \in v_1$ and $(z_n)_{n\geq 0} \in L^p(\Omega; v_q(\mathcal{B}))$, we have $(\delta_n z_n)_{n\geq 0} \in L^p(\Omega; v_q(\mathcal{B}))$ and*

$$\|(\delta_n z_n)_{n\geq 0}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))} \leq 3\|(\delta_n)_{n\geq 0}\|_{v_1} \|(z_n)_{n\geq 0}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))}.$$

Proof. We define

$$\Delta_n^{-1} = nM_{n-1}(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j,\ \forall n \geq 1.$$

The following decomposition formula for any $m \geq 0$, which has been shown in (4.10) in [19], plays an important role in the induction argument.

$$\begin{aligned} n^m \Delta_{2n+1}^m &= n^{m-1} \sum_{j=n}^{2n} (j+1)\Delta_j^{m+1} - n^{m-1}(n+1)(\Delta_{2n+1}^m - \Delta_n^m) \\ &\quad + n^{m-1}\Delta_{2n+1}^{m-1} - n^{m-1}\Delta_{n+1}^{m-1} \\ &= A_n - \frac{n+1}{n} B_n + n^{m-1}\Delta_{2n+1}^{m-1} - n^{m-1}\Delta_{n+1}^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $f \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B})$. To finish the proof, it suffices to prove

$$\|(A_n(f))_{n\geq 0}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))} \lesssim |||f|||_p \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\|(B_n(f))_{n\geq 0}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))} \lesssim |||f|||_p. \tag{5.9}$$

Indeed, the two estimates, together with Lemma 5.6, imply

$$\|((n^m \Delta_{2n+1}^m)(f))_{n\geq 0}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))} \lesssim |||f|||_p$$

since

$$\|(\frac{n+1}{n} B_n(f))_{n\geq 1}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))} \lesssim |||f|||_p,$$

$$\|(n^{m-1}\Delta_{n+1}^{m-1}(f))_{n\geq 1}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))} \lesssim |||f|||_p$$

and

$$\|(n^{m-1}\Delta_{2n+1}^{m-1}(f))_{n\geq 1}\|_{L^p(v_q(\mathcal{B}))} \lesssim |||f|||_p,$$

whence further yields the desired result by using again (5.9) and the identity $n\Delta_n^m = n^m \Delta_{2n+1}^m - B_n$.

As in [19], we shall prove the estimates of (5.8) and (5.9) by deducing the pointwise estimates

$$\|(A_n(f))_{n\geq 0}\|_{v_q(\mathcal{B})},\ \|(B_n(f))_{n\geq 0}\|_{v_q(\mathcal{B})} \lesssim \Phi_{m,q_0}(f)$$

where $\Phi_{m,q_0}(f)$ denotes the vector-valued Littlewood-Paley function

$$\Phi_{m,q_0}(f) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j+1} \|(j+1)^{m+1}\Delta_j^{m+1}(f)\|^{q_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}$$

which belongs to $L^p(\Omega)$ by the assumption (5.2).

We only deal with the sequence $(A_n)_{n\geq 0}$, since similar argument works also for the sequence $(B_n)_{n\geq 1}$ (see e.g. the paragraph after (4.14) in [19]).

Given a fixed increasing sequence of integers $(n_k)_{k\geq 0}$ with $n_0 = 1$. For any $k \geq 1$, we define

$$a_k = \begin{cases} n_k^{m-1} \sum_{j=2n_{k-1}+1}^{2n_k} (j+1)\Delta_j^{m+1} & \text{if } 2n_{k-1} \geq n_k \\ n_k^{m-1} \sum_{j=n_k}^{2n_k} (j+1)\Delta_j^{m+1} & \text{if } 2n_{k-1} < n_k \end{cases}$$

$$b_k = \begin{cases} -n_{k-1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=n_{k-1}}^{n_k-1} (j+1)\Delta_j^{m+1} & \text{if } 2n_{k-1} \geq n_k \\ -n_k^{m-1} \sum_{j=n_{k-1}}^{2n_{k-1}} (j+1)\Delta_j^{m+1} & \text{if } 2n_{k-1} < n_k \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_k = \begin{cases} (n_k^{m-1} - n_{k-1}^{m-1}) \sum_{j=n_k}^{2n_{k-1}} (j+1)\Delta_j^{m+1} & \text{if } 2n_{k-1} \geq n_k \\ 0 & \text{if } 2n_{k-1} < n_k \end{cases}$$

which yields a decomposition

$$A_{n_k} - A_{n_{k-1}} = a_k + b_k + c_k. \tag{5.10}$$

Let $\theta = 1/q_0 - m$ such that $(1-\theta)q_0 = q_0(m+1) - 1$ and $\theta q_0/(q_0-1) = (1 - q_0 m)/(q_0 - 1)$. Using Hölder inequality, if $2n_{k-1} \geq n_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_k(f)\| &\leq n_k^{m-1} \sum_{j=2n_{k-1}+1}^{2n_k} (j+1)\|\Delta_j^{m+1}(f)\| \\ &\leq n_k^{m-1} \left(\sum_{j=2n_{k-1}+1}^{2n_k} (j+1)^{(1-\theta)q_0} \|\Delta_j^{m+1}(f)\|^{q_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\qquad \cdot \left(\sum_{j=2n_{k-1}+1}^{2n_k} (j+1)^{\frac{\theta q_0}{q_0-1}} \right)^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}} \\ &= n_k^{m-1} \left(\sum_{j=2n_{k-1}+1}^{2n_k} (j+1)^{q_0(m+1)-1} \|\Delta_j^{m+1}(f)\|^{q_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\qquad \cdot \left(\sum_{j=2n_{k-1}+1}^{2n_k} (j+1)^{\frac{1-q_0 m}{q_0-1}} \right)^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly if $2n_{k-1} < n_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_k(f)\| &\le n_k^{m-1}\left(\sum_{j=n_k}^{2n_k}(j+1)^{(1-\theta)q_0}\|\Delta_j^{m+1}(f)\|^{q_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\\ &\qquad\cdot\left(\sum_{j=n_k}^{2n_k}(j+1)^{\frac{\theta q_0}{q_0-1}}\right)^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}}\\ &= n_k^{m-1}\left(\sum_{j=2n_{k-1}+1}^{2n_k}(j+1)^{q_0(m+1)-1}\|\Delta_j^{m+1}(f)\|^{q_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\\ &\qquad\cdot\left(\sum_{j=n_k}^{2n_k}(j+1)^{\frac{1-q_0m}{q_0-1}}\right)^{\frac{q_0-1}{q_0}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 5.5, we have in both case

$$\|a_k(f)\|^{q_0} \le K_{m,q_0}^{q_0}\sum_{j=2n_{k-1}+1}^{2n_k}(j+1)^{q_0(m+1)-1}\|\Delta_j^{m+1}(f)\|^{q_0}.$$

Summing up, we deduce that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\|a_k(f)\|^{q_0} \le K_{m,q_0}^{q_0}\Phi_{m,q_0}(f)^{q_0}. \tag{5.11}$$

By a similar deduction, we also have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\|b_k(f)\|^{q_0} \le K_{m,q_0}^{q_0}\Phi_{m,q_0}(f)^{q_0}. \tag{5.12}$$

Now, let us turn to the last but the most involved term $c_k(f)$. It suffices to deal with the case $2n_{k-1} \ge n_k$. Using Hölder inequality and Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|c_k(f)\|^{q_0} &\le |n_k^{m-1} - n_{k-1}^{m-1}|^{q_0}\sum_{j=n_k}^{2n_{k-1}}(j+1)^{q_0(m+1)-1}\|\Delta_j^{m+1}(f)\|^{q_0}\\ &\qquad\cdot\left(\sum_{j=n_k}^{2n_{k-1}}(j+1)^{\frac{1-q_0m}{q_0-1}}\right)^{q_0-1}\\ &\le K_{m,q_0}^{q_0}\left(\frac{n_k^{m-1}-n_{k-1}^{m-1}}{n_k^{m-1}}\right)^{q_0}\sum_{j=n_k}^{2n_{k-1}}(j+1)^{q_0(m+1)-1}\|\Delta_j^{m+1}(f)\|^{q_0}.\end{aligned}$$

For any integer $j \ge 1$, define

$$J_j = \{k \ge 1 : n_k \le j \le 2n_{k-1}\},$$

and set

$$\Lambda_j = \sum_{k\in J_j} \left(\frac{n_k^{m-1} - n_{k-1}^{m-1}}{n_k^{m-1}} \right)^{q_0}.$$

Then by Fubini theorem,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|c_k(f)\|^{q_0} \leq K_{m,q_0}^{q_0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_j (j+1)^{q_0(m+1)-1} \|\Delta_j^{m+1}(f)\|^{q_0}.$$

Let us now estimate the Λ_j's. Observe that if J_j is a non empty set, then it is a finite interval of integers. Thus it reads as

$$J_j = \{k_j - N + 1, k_j - N + 2, \cdots, k_j - 1, k_j\}$$

where k_j is the biggest element of J_j and N is the integer such that $k_j - N + 1$ is the least integer such that $j \leq 2n_{k_j - N}$.

Suppose that $m \geq 2$, then the sequence $(n_k^{m-1})_k$ is increasing. Thus

$$\sum_{k\in J_j} n_k^{m-1} - n_{k-1}^{m-1} = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} n_{k_j-r}^{m-1} - n_{k_j-r-1}^{m-1} = n_{k_j}^{m-1} - n_{k_j-N}^{m-1} \leq n_{k_j}^{m-1},$$

which is further smaller then j^{m-1}, since $k_j \in J_j$ hence $n_{k_j} \leq j$. On the other hand, we have $j \leq 2n_k$ for any $k \in J_j$, hence

$$\sum_{k\in J_j} \frac{n_k^{m-1} - n_{k-1}^{m-1}}{n_k^{m-1}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{j}\right)^{m-1} \sum_{k\in J_j} n_k^{m-1} - n_{k-1}^{m-1} \leq 2^{m-1}.$$

We immediately deduce that $\Lambda_j \leq 2^{(m-1)q_0}$. In the case $m = 0$, we have similarly

$$\sum_{k\in J_j} \frac{n_k^{-1} - n_{k-1}^{-1}}{n_k^{-1}} \leq j \sum_{k\in J_j} n_k^{-1} - n_{k-1}^{-1} \leq j n_{k_j-N}^{-1} \leq 2.$$

So in this case $\Lambda_j \leq 2^{q_0}$. Finally $\Lambda_j = 0$ when $m = 1$.

This shows that in all cases, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|c_k(f)\|^{q_0} \leq K'^{q_0}_{m,q_0} \Phi_{m,q_0}(f)^{q_0}.$$

By the identity (5.10), this estimate together with (5.11) and (5.12) yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_{n_k}(f) - A_{n_{k-1}}(f)\|^{q_0} \leq (6K_{m,q_0}^{q_0} = K'^{q_0}_{m,q_0}) \Phi_{m,q_0}(f)^{q_0},$$

which yields the desired estimates since the upper bound does not depend on the sequence $(n_k)_{k\geq 0}$ and $v_q(\mathcal{B}) \subset v_{q_0}(\mathcal{B})$ when $q > q_0 \geq 2$. □

6. Applications

In this section, we are concerned with the properties of the convergence in connection with variational inequalities. Restriceted to Banach spaces of martingale cotype q_0 with $2 \leq q_0 < \infty$, we will see that our results improve the ones by Cowling/Leinert and Taggart.

Let $T = (T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a symmetric diffusion semigroup. By definiton, T_t is contractive on all L^p with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ for any $t > 0$, hence T_t is contractively regular on all L^p with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ for any $t > 0$, which implies by (4.1) that T_t extends contractively on all $L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B})$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ for any Banach spaces and any $t > 0$. On the other hand, by definition, for any $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $T_t f \to f$ in the L^p-norm as $t \to 0^+$. Therefore by the density argument, for any $f \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B})$, $T_t f \to f$ in the $L^p(B)$-norm as $t \to 0^+$.

Let A denote the infinitesimal generator of T. By mean ergodic theorem, we have direct sum decomposition

$$L^p(\Omega) = N(A) \oplus \overline{R(A)}.$$

If we let $P_A : L^p(\Omega) \to L^p(\Omega)$ denotes the corresponding projection onto $N(A)$, then

$$T_t f \to P_A(f), \ \text{ as } t \to \infty$$

in L^p-norm for any $f \in L^p(\Omega)$. By by the density argument, this limit holds also true for any $f \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B})$.

Now applying Theorem 5.2, we deduce the following individual ergodic theorems.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $T = (T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a symmetric diffusion semigroup. Let $2 \leq q_0 < \infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space of martingale cotype q_0 which is an interpolation space between a Hilbert space and another Banach space $\mathcal{B}_0$ of martingale cotype q_1 with $q_0 < q_1 < \infty$. Let $f \in L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{B})$ with $1 < p < \infty$, then for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$,*

$$[T_t f](\omega) \to [P_A(f)](\omega) \text{ in } B-\text{norm}, \ \text{ as } t \to \infty$$

and

$$[T_t f](\omega) \to f(\omega) \text{ in } B-\text{norm}, \ \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$

As said in the introduction of this paper, the pointwise convergence has been obtained by Cowling and Leinert for all Banach spaces $\mathcal{B}$. However, Theorem 5.2 provides us quantitvative information on the rate of the convergence, which depends on the geometric property of the Banach space under consideration. This requires the notion of λ-jump functions. For any $\lambda > 0$ and any family $a = (a_t)_{t\geq 0}$ in Banach space $\mathcal{B}$. One defines $N(a, \lambda)$ to be the supremum of all integers $N \geq 0$ for which there is an increasing sequence

$$0 < s_1 < t_1 \leq s_2 < t_2 \leq \cdots \leq s_N < t_N$$

so that $\|a_{t_k} - a_{s_k}\| > \lambda$ for each $k = 1, \cdots, N$. It is clear that for any $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\lambda^q N(a, \lambda) \leq \|a\|^q_{V_q(B)}.$$

By Theorem 5.2, we immediately obtain the following jump estiamtes.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $T = (T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a symmetric diffusion semigroup. Let $2 \leq q_0 < \infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space of martingale cotype q_0 which is an interpolation space between a Hilbert space and another Banach space B_0 of martingale cotype q_1 with $2 \leq q_1 < \infty$. For any $f \in L^p(\Omega; B)$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and $q_0 < q < \infty$, we have*

$$\Big\| \omega \to N\big(([T_t f](\omega))_{t\geq 0}, \lambda\big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \Big\|_p \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(B)}}{\lambda},$$

and for any $K > 0$, we also have

$$\mu\big\{\omega \in \Omega | N\big(([T_t f](\omega))_{t\geq 0}, \lambda\big) > K\big\} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(B)}}{\lambda^p K^{\frac{p}{q}}}.$$

The jump estimate is sharp in the sense that the index q can not go below q_0. This can be easily seen from the particular case when $\mathcal{B}$ is a Hilbert space. In this case, $q_0 = 2$ and suppose we can find $q_2 < q_0$ such that the jump estimate holds. Then by Lemma 2.1 in [16] (see also [2]), we have corresponding q-variational inequality for all $q_2 < q$, which contradicts with the fact the 2-variational inequality fails (see e.g. [17], [31]). For Banach space of martingale cotype q_0, similar phenomenon happens. That means we can not expect the jump estimate were true for $q < q_0$. On the other hand, we may expect that the jump estimate would be true when $q = q_0$. All these facts will be proved and appear elsewhere.

Acknowledgement. Guixiang Hong is supported by MINECO: ICMAT Severo Ochoa project SEV-2011-0087 and ERC Grant StG-256997-CZOSQP (EU). Tao Ma is partially supported by NSFC No. 11271292.

References

[1] N. Asmar, E. Berkson, and T.A. Gillespie, Transference of strong type maximal inequalities by separation-preserving representations, Amer. J. Math. 113: 47-74, 1991.

[2] J. Bourgain, Pointwise ergodic theorems for arithmetic sets, Publ. Math. IHES., 69: 5-41, 1989.

[3] J. Campbell, R. Jones, K. Reinhold, and M. Wierdl, Oscillation and Variation for the Hilbert Transform, Duke Math. J. 105: 59-83, 2000.

[4] J.T. Campbell, R.L. Jones, K. Reinhold, and M. Wierdl. Oscillation and variation for singular integrals in higher dimensions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 355: 2115-2137, 2003.

[5] R. Coifman, G. Weiss, Transference methods in analysis, CBMS 31, Amer. Math. Soc., 1977.

[6] M. Cowling, M. Leinert, Pointwise convergence and semigroups acting on vectro-valued functions, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 84:44-48, 2011.

[7] A. de la Torre, A simple proof of the maximal ergodic theorem, Canad. J. Math. 28:1073-1075, 1976.

[8] Y. Do, C. Muscalu, and C. Thiele, Variational estimates for paraproducts, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 28: 857-878, 2012.

[9] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, Linear operators, Part 1, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 7 Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York; Interscience Publisher, Ltd., London 1958 xiv+858 pp.

[10] G. Fendler, Dilations of one parameter semigroups of positive contractions on L_p-spaces, Canad. J. Math. 49: 736-748, 1997.
[11] J. García-Cuerva, and J.L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted norm inequalities and related topics, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985.
[12] T.A. Gillespie, J.L. Torrea, Dimension free estimates for the oscillation of Riesz transforms, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 141: 125-144, 2004.
[13] G. Hong, The behaviour of square functions from ergodic theory in L^∞, arXiv:1410.1574.
[14] R. Jones, R. Kaufman, J. Rosenblatt and M. Wierdl, Oscillation in ergodic theory, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 18: 889-935, 1998.
[15] R. Jones, J.M. Rosenblatt and M. Wierdl, Oscillation in ergodic theory: Higher dimensional results, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 135: 1-27, 2003.
[16] R. L. Jones, A. Seeger, and J. Wright. Strong variational and jump inequalities in harmonic analysis, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360: 6711-6742, 2008.
[17] R. L. Jones, G. Wang, Variation inequalities for the Fejér and Poisson kernels, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356: 4493-4518, 2004.
[18] M. Junge, Q. Xu, Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 20: 385-439, 2007.
[19] C. Le Merdy, Q. Xu, Strong q-variation inequalities for analytic semigroups, Ann. Inst. Fourier. 62: 2069-2097, 2012.
[20] D. Lépingle, La variation d'ordre p des semi-martingales, Z. Wahr. Verw. Gebiete 36:295-316.
[21] T. Martínez, J. L. Torrea, and Q. Xu, Vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for semigroups. Adv. Math. 203(2): 430-475, 2006.
[22] A. Mas. Variation for singular integrals on Lipschitz graphs: L^p and endpoint estimates, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. To appear.
[23] A. Mas, and X. Tolsa, Variation and oscillation for singular integrals with odd kernel on Lipschitz graphs, Proc. London Math. Soc, 105:49-86, 2012.
[24] A. Mas, and X. Tolsa, Variation for the Riesz transform and uniform rectifiability, J. Eur. Math. Soc., To appear.
[25] P. Meyer-Nieberg, Banach Lattices, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1991.
[26] R. Oberlin, A. Seeger, T. Tao, C. Thiele, and J. Wright, A variation norm Carleson theorem, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 14: 421-464, 2012.
[27] V. Peller, An analogue of J. von Neumann's inequality for the space L^p (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 231(3): 539-542, 1976.
[28] G. Pisier, Factorization of Operator Valued Analytic Functions, Advance in Mathematics, 93(1): 61-125, 1992.
[29] G. Pisier, Complex interpolation and regular operators between Banach spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 62(3): 261-269, 1994.
[30] G. Pisier, Q. Xu, The strong p-variation of martingales and orthogonal series, Proba. Th. Rel. Fields 77: 497-514, 1988.
[31] J. Qian, The p-variation of partial sum processes and the empirical process, Ann. of Prob., 26: 1370-1383, 1998.
[32] E.M. Stein, Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory, Ann. Math. Studies, Princeton, University Press, 1970.
[33] R.J. Taggart, Pointwise convergence for semigroups in vector-valued L p spaces, Math. Z., 261: 933-949, 2009.

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas, CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, C/Nicolás Cabrera 13-15.
28049, Madrid. Spain., *E-mail address: guixiang.hong@icmat.es*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China, *E-mail address: tma.math@whu.edu.cn*